Date of this letter: May 28, 2019

# Regarding Project Name: Kirkland Place

Case File No. CU2018-0020/DR2018-0157/LD2018-0038/PD2018-0005/TP2018-0011

# **Dear Planning Commission**

Hearing July 10, 2019

### **Dear Facilities Review Committee**

Meeting June 19, 2019

#### Dear Elena Sasin, Associate Planner

esasin@beavertonoregon.gov 503.526-2494

City of Beaverton Community Development Department 12725 SW Millikan Way PO BOX 4755 Beaverton, OR 97076



City of Beaverton Planning Services

We are Bradley Hess and Denise Hess owners of 226 NW 117<sup>th</sup> Loop in the adjacent neighborhood to the proposed new development. While we are not opposed to the development of these lots we are opposed to the proposed ingress/egress, increased unregulated traffic and parking impact proposed by this proposed plan.

#### Ingress/egress

There are two proposed auto entrances and exits on our street, 117<sup>th</sup> Loop. 117<sup>th</sup> Loop is an extremely narrow street where two cars cannot easily pass due to the width of the neighborhood street. It is difficult for us to understand how the traffic study would allow such a plan that forces traffic into our neighborhood. We understand there are difficulties with allowing entrances and exits on 118th Ave. and NW Barnes Road but the proposed plan is ill-conceived. Please see Figure #1 and #2 attached.

## **Unregulated Traffic**

Our second concern is the increased traffic at the intersection of 118th Ave and Cedar Falls Drive. This intersection is already perilous for pedestrians and vehicles. The recent addition of a crosswalk across 118th has helped this situation. The proposed development would undoubtedly snarl traffic at this intersection due to the combined traffic from Kirkland Place and the existing Timberland Town/Market of Choice Center. We can foresee the need for a four way stop intersection at 118th and Cedar Falls Dr. Please see Figure #1 and #2 attached.

#### **Overflow Parking**

Our third concern is parking of hotel guests and office/business workers and visitors in our neighborhood. Parking is extremely limited to cutouts only on one side of 117th Loop. We understand that the proposed development provides the exact number required by the city but are concerned that during busy times visitors to and employees of the hotel and businesses will overflow into the adjacent neighbor streets. We can foresee the need for possible neighborhood only parking zones and permits to discourage business parking on 117<sup>th</sup> loop and adjoining streets.

Thank you for reading our letter. We hope the Facilities Review Committee and the Planning Committee will be able to provide solutions to the above concerns.

Respectfully, Bradley and Denise Hess

226 NW 117th Loop

Email: hessfamily4@yahoo.com



Ingress/egress concerns marked by Needed regulation of traffic concerns marked by FAR CALCULATIONS SITE 1: 49,007SF BUILDING 1: 49,000SF FAR: .999 SITE 2: 11,803SF BUILDING 2: 6,000SF FAR: .508 SITE 3: 43,382SF BUILDING 3: 21,711SF FAR: .500 LANDSCAPE TRACT: 21,537SF EX LOT LINE Figure #1 Banfield Pet Hospita MW@darFallsDr NW@darFallsDr Indice -anland, OR NW 117th Loop PETRES Rd SW Gemes Ref Bright Way Zen W. Beines Rei Figure #2